

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/980,589

Applicant(s)

WILLIAMS, SCOTT A.

Examiner

Bethlehem Shewareged

Art Unit

1774

**All Participants:**(1) Bethlehem Shewareged.(2) Craig McRobbie.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2004**Time:** 2:30pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Double Patenting Rejection*

Claims discussed:

*1-4 and 30*

Prior art documents discussed:

---

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***SPE Rena Dye suggested to withdraw the current double patenting rejection (mailed on 06/30/2004), and either allow the case or send another non-final rejection whichever one is appropriate.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)